

AGENDA

BOTHELL PLANNING COMMISSION

Bothell City Hall, 18415 101st Avenue NE
Wednesday, January 24, 2018, 6:00 PM

1. CALL TO ORDER

2. NON-AGENDA PUBLIC COMMENTS

3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

June 7, 2017
June 28, 2017
July 19, 2017
October 11, 2017

4. NEW BUSINESS

5. PUBLIC HEARING

6. STUDY SESSION

Draft 2018 Planning Docket Preview

7. OLD BUSINESS

8. REPORTS FROM STAFF

- A. Update on Canyon Park Regional Growth Center
- B. Update on recent Council Plan and Code amendment actions
- C. Discussion of Planning Commission calendar

9. REPORTS FROM MEMBERS

10. ADJOURNMENT

Projected Schedule of Land Use Items as of January 18, 2018

City Council (CC) meetings, shown in **bold**, start at 6 p.m. unless otherwise noted.

Planning Commission (PC) meetings, shown in *italics*, start at 6 p.m. unless otherwise noted.

Landmark Preservation Board and other meetings, shown in normal text, start at 7:30 p.m. unless otherwise noted.

Meetings are held in the **City Hall building at 18415 101st Avenue NE** unless otherwise noted.

February 2018

For planning purposes only: schedule subject to change without notice

Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday
			1	2
5	6	7	8	9
12	13	14	15	16
19	20	21 <i>Canyon Park Update and potential Miscellaneous Housekeeping Code Amendments Study Session</i>	22	23
24	25	26	27	28

Minutes

BOTHELL PLANNING COMMISSION

REGULAR MEETING - June 7, 2017

COMMISSIONERS PRESENT: David Vliet, Patrick Cabe, Jeanne Zornes, Eric Clarke, and David Wickwire

COMMISSIONERS ABSENT AND EXCUSED: Roger Cecil and Jason Hampton

STAFF PRESENT: Senior Planner David Boyd and Arthur Sullivan and Mike Stanger with A Regional Coalition for Housing (ARCH)

CALL TO ORDER: The Regular Meeting of the Bothell Planning Commission was called to order by Chair David Vliet, on, June 7, 2017, at 6:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers at the Bothell Town Hall, 18415 101st Avenue NE.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

A MOTION WAS MADE TO APPROVE THE MINUTES FOR MARCH 8, 2017. THE MOTION WAS SECONDED AND PASSED WITH ALL PRESENT IN FAVOR.
--

A MOTION WAS MADE TO APPROVE THE MINUTES FOR MARCH 15, 2017. THE MOTION WAS SECONDED AND PASSED WITH ALL PRESENT IN FAVOR.

PUBLIC HEARING:

Chair Vliet opened the initial Public Hearing regarding update to the Bothell Housing Strategy. Vliet introduced David Boyd Senior Planner and Arthur Sullivan and Mike Stanger with A Regional Coalition for Housing (ARCH).

Boyd thanked Sullivan and Stanger for their work on the Housing Strategy.

Sullivan shared a brief presentation on the update to the Bothell Housing Strategy.

Discussion ensued.

PUBLIC COMMENTS:

Sarah Sundin, 23225 3 Ave SE

Kaitie Carrigan, 23205 3 Ave SE

A MOTION WAS MADE TO CONTINUE THE PUBLIC HEARING TO JUNE 22, 2017. THE MOTION WAS SECONDED AND PASSED WITH ALL PRESENT IN FAVOR.

OLD BUSINESS: None

REPORTS FROM STAFF:

Boyd reported changes to Planning Commission calendar. The June calendar listed the 28th as a tentative date for the continued Housing Strategy Update, this is definite at this point. Council meeting was cancelled on June 6th due to lack of quorum. The Public Hearing on Clustering and Tree Retention and Public Hearing on the first part of Misc. Downtown Code Amendments on the Building Height Measurement Method are being moved to June 13th. The Study Session item on the 13th is being moved to the 27th. Council will have a 4th meeting in June. July calendar items are still up in the air but will be updated.

REPORTS FROM MEMBERS: None

ADJOURNMENT:

<p>A MOTION WAS MADE TO ADJOURN. THE MOTION WAS SECONDED AND PASSED WITH ALL PRESENT IN FAVOR.</p>

The meeting was adjourned at 7:53 p.m.

Patrick Cabe, Secretary

BOTHELL PLANNING COMMISSION

REGULAR MEETING - June 28, 2017

COMMISSIONERS PRESENT: David Vliet, Jeanne Zornes, David Wickwire, Roger Cecil and Jason Hampton

COMMISSIONERS ABSENT AND EXCUSED: Eric Clarke and Patrick Cabe

STAFF PRESENT: Senior Planner David Boyd and Arthur Sullivan and Mike Stanger with A Regional Coalition for Housing (ARCH)

CALL TO ORDER: The Regular Meeting of the Bothell Planning Commission was called to order by Chair David Vliet, on, June 28, 2017, at 6:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers at the Bothell Town Hall, 18415 101st Avenue NE.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES: None

PUBLIC HEARING:

Chair Vliet opened the second Public Hearing regarding update to the Bothell Housing Strategy. Vliet introduced David Boyd Senior Planner and Arthur Sullivan and Mike Stanger with A Regional Coalition for Housing (ARCH).

Boyd thanked Sullivan and Stanger for their work on the Housing Strategy.

Sullivan shared a brief presentation on the update to the Bothell Housing Strategy.

Discussion ensued.

A MOTION WAS MADE TO CONTINUE THE PUBLIC HEARING REGARDING THE HOUSING STRATEGY TO JULY 19, 2017. THE MOTION WAS SECONDED AND PASSED WITH ALL PRESENT IN FAVOR.

REPORTS FROM STAFF:

Boyd reported City Council had a Study Session last night on the Miscellaneous Downtown Code Amendments. The Public Hearing is scheduled for July 25th. Boyd will be looking for a commissioner to attend that night. A Public Hearing for Clustering Code Amendments is scheduled for July 11th. Bruce Blackburn will be looking for a representative from the Commission for that evening.

ADJOURNMENT:

A MOTION WAS MADE TO ADJOURN. THE MOTION WAS SECONDED AND PASSED WITH ALL PRESENT IN FAVOR.

The meeting was adjourned at 7:34 p.m.

Patrick Cabe, Secretary

BOTHELL PLANNING COMMISSION

REGULAR MEETING - July 19, 2017

COMMISSIONERS PRESENT: David Vliet, Jeanne Zornes, Eric Clarke Patrick Cabe, Roger Cecil and Jason Hampton

COMMISSIONERS ABSENT AND EXCUSED: David Wickwire

STAFF PRESENT: Senior Planner Bruce Blackburn, Senior Planner David Boyd, Senior Transportation Planner Sherman Goong and Arthur Sullivan and Mike Stanger with A Regional Coalition for Housing (ARCH)

CALL TO ORDER: The Regular Meeting of the Bothell Planning Commission was called to order by Chair David Vliet, on, July 19, 2017, at 6:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers at the Bothell Town Hall, 18415 101st Avenue NE.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

A MOTION WAS MADE TO APPROVE THE MINUTES FOR MAY 3, 2017. THE MOTION WAS SECONDED AND PASSED WITH ALL PRESENT IN FAVOR.
--

A MOTION WAS MADE TO APPROVE THE MINUTES FOR MAY 10, 2017. THE MOTION WAS SECONDED AND PASSED WITH ALL PRESENT IN FAVOR.

A MOTION WAS MADE TO APPROVE THE MINUTES FOR MAY 17, 2017. THE MOTION WAS SECONDED AND PASSED WITH ALL PRESENT IN FAVOR.

PUBLIC HEARING:

Chair Vliet opened the initial Public Hearing regarding Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC) recommendations for further work on the 2015 Periodic Update. Vliet introduced Bruce Blackburn and Sherman Goong.

Blackburn and Goong opened discussion with Commissioners regarding the Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC) recommendations.

A MOTION WAS MADE TO AUTHORIZE STAFF TO SEND THE ATTACHED MATRIX (AS AMENDED) TO PSRC FOR THEIR REVIEW AND CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING REGARDING PUGET SOUND REGIONAL COUNCIL (PSRC) RECOMMENDATIONS. THE MOTION WAS SECONDED AND PASSED WITH ALL PRESENT IN FAVOR.

PUBLIC HEARING:

Chair Vliet opened the third Public Hearing regarding update to the Bothell Housing Strategy. Vliet introduced David Boyd Senior Planner and Arthur Sullivan and Mike Stanger with A Regional Coalition for Housing (ARCH).

Boyd shared a brief background on the Bothell Housing Strategy.

Sullivan shared a brief presentation on the update to the Bothell Housing Strategy.

Discussion ensued.

A MOTION WAS MADE TO PASS ON THE RECOMMENDED PLAN WITH EDITS DISCUSSED TO BE IN A SEPARATE DOCUMENT TO FOCUS ON AS A ONE PAGE APPENDIX OR EXPLANATION LETTER. THE MOTION WAS SECONDED AND PASSED WITH ALL PRESENT IN FAVOR.

A AMENDED MOTION WAS MADE TO ACCEPT THE PLAN, MINUS PAGES 7, 10 & 11, TO WORK ON SEPARATE AS AN APPENDIX OR LETTER. THE MOTION WAS SECONDED AND PASSED WITH ALL PRESENT IN FAVOR.

A MOTION WAS MADE TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING REGARDING THE HOUSING STRATEGY. THE MOTION WAS SECONDED AND PASSED WITH ALL PRESENT IN FAVOR.

OLD BUSINESS: Vliet checked with members regarding City email access.

REPORTS FROM STAFF:

Boyd reported that this was the final meeting before the August break. No schedule for September as of yet. Boyd will meet with Blackburn to create a tentative schedule and will get a schedule to Commissioners in the next few days.

ADJOURNMENT:

A MOTION WAS MADE TO ADJOURN. THE MOTION WAS SECONDED AND PASSED WITH ALL PRESENT IN FAVOR.

The meeting was adjourned at 6:37 p.m.

Patrick Cabe, Secretary

BOTHELL PLANNING COMMISSION

REGULAR MEETING - October 11, 2017

COMMISSIONERS PRESENT: David Vliet, Jeanne Zornes, Roger Cecil, David Wickwire and Jason Hampton

COMMISSIONERS ABSENT AND EXCUSED: Patrick Cabe and Eric Clarke

STAFF PRESENT: Community Development Director Tom Burdett, Senior Planner David Boyd and Arthur Sullivan and Mike Stanger with A Regional Coalition for Housing (ARCH)

CALL TO ORDER: The Regular Meeting of the Bothell Planning Commission was called to order by Chair David Vliet, on, October 11, 2017, at 6:30 p.m. in the Council Chambers at the Bothell Town Hall, 18415 101st Avenue NE.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES: None

PUBLIC MEETING:

Chair Vliet opened the Public Meeting regarding update to the Bothell Housing Strategy. Vliet introduced David Boyd Senior Planner and Mike Stanger with A Regional Coalition for Housing (ARCH).

Stanger shared a brief background on the updates to the Bothell Housing Strategy. Arthur Sullivan joined the meeting in progress.

Discussion ensued.

OLD BUSINESS: None

REPORTS FROM STAFF:

Burdett reported that Planning Commission members are welcome to attend the Canyon Park Regional Growth Center public open house on October 26, 2017 from 6pm - 8pm. A notice will be sent out for possible quorum. First stakeholders meeting was held and facilitated by the consultants. Included owners of properties, tenants and property management groups. Comments ranged from challenges with transportation, amenity uses, housing issues and work shift changes to relieve peak hour commuting. Consultants will use these comments to build on to presentation for public open house. Planning Commission will be engaged with the results of the study but will not result in code changes until 2018. Market data and demographics will be shared with the Commissioners to provide background for the future.

Boyd reported on the UW Bothell / Cascadia College Campus Master Plan (CMP). City and campus staff did a presentation of the CMP and related documents for City Council on October 3, 2017. It is available on the UW Bothell and Cascadia websites. It will be going back to council for a public hearing on October 17, 2017. This will be an opportunity for the community share input. Boyd encouraged Commissioners to review the CMP and participate in the Public Hearing on the October 17. The schedule calls for Council to take

action by November 14, 2017 and the institutions will be taking the master plan to their boards between October & January.

Boyd updated Commissioners on schedule for the rest of the year. Small code amendments and miscellaneous items that were Council initiated were not prioritized on their schedule for the rest of this year. Schedule will be light the rest of the year. Boyd said he would update the Commissioners on work schedule soon.

ADJOURNMENT:

A MOTION WAS MADE TO ADJOURN. THE MOTION WAS SECONDED AND PASSED WITH ALL PRESENT IN FAVOR.
--

The meeting was adjourned at 8:09 p.m.

Patrick Cabe, Secretary

Draft 2018 Planning Docket Preview

MEMORANDUM

Community Development Department



City of Bothell

DATE: January 24, 2018

TO: Planning Commission

FROM: Interim Community Development Director Gary Hasseler and
Senior Planners Dave Boyd and Bruce Blackburn.

SUBJECT: Study Session Preview of the 2018 Planning Docket

For this year's annual Planning Docket consideration, staff is employing a somewhat different approach to give Planning Commission more involvement up front. Prior to last year, the Planning Docket went directly to City Council, who then referred items to Planning Commission, other boards as appropriate, or kept items for their own direct consideration. Last year we held a Planning Commission study session to introduce Commission to the docketing process, then a joint City Council / Planning Commission study session on the 2017 Planning Docket, followed by a City Council public hearing to adopt the Docket.

This year, we are abbreviating that process by giving Planning Commission a sort of "preview" of the 2018 Planning Docket, which then go directly to City Council at a March 6 public hearing, along with a long-range planning work program.

This is intended as a very informal review and a chance for the Commission to discuss the overall docketing process and give input on potential docket items.

Attached is a working draft of the 2018 Planning Docket, with the 2017 items not completed carried over, and those completed listed as well.

The draft Docket is definitely a work in progress and still undergoing staff review, so changes, additions and deletions are expected before it goes to Council.

ATT - 1
2018 Docket Matrix – DRAFT

Subject: **2018 Docket of Plan and Code Amendments**

Meeting Date: Planning Commission, Wednesday, January 24, 2018

Staff Contact: Gary Hasseler, Interim Community Development Director
Bruce Blackburn, Senior Planner
Dave Boyd, Senior Planner

Bothell Municipal Code 11.18.030 provides that “Amendments to the comprehensive plan, a subarea plan, or development regulations...may be suggested by any person, including applicants, citizens, hearing examiners and staff of other agencies.” (Partial)

BMC 11.18.060 states, “The City Council shall initiate consideration of suggested amendments by motion.” There is no prescribed schedule for the Council to review suggested amendments or to determine whether any warrant formal initiation, but staff endeavors to bring suggested amendments to the Council around the beginning of each year. The group of suggested amendments existing at any one time is known as the “Docket.” Thus, the suggested amendments scheduled for review by the Council on March 6, are collectively termed the ‘2018 Docket’.

Within the attached matrix each docket item is assigned a number, a description of the amendment, who requested the amendment, a short analysis of the amendment, a Staff recommendation, and guidance on the prioritization of the amendment. It is important to note that all of the listed plan or Code amendments are important and worthy of consideration. Unfortunately, staff resources are finite and, with the recent re-organization of the Community Development Department, the long range planning team went from 2.65 FTEs to 2.1 FTEs of available work time that can be devoted to the 2017 Docket. Accordingly, many items listed in this matrix will not likely be completed during 2018 and some items will be deferred to 2019.

Recommended priorities are based on the importance that each docket item has been assigned by the City Council and Planning Commission during previous public meetings and staff’s assessment of timeliness and importance. Other criteria include whether the item is mandated by Federal, State legislation or court rulings, the importance of the docket item in improving the City’s permit processing, whether the docket item will have a positive future economic impact, and Staff’s experience in estimating the amount of time any given item is likely to require.

Staff Recommended priorities are classified as follows:

- Mandatory – Items that must be completed in 2018 due to federal, state or local requirements
- High – Items that should be completed in 2018 if time and schedule allows 2018+
- Medium – Items that may wait until 2019 or a later Docket 2019 –
- DNCF – Do not consider further – Items to be removed from consideration

No.	Suggested Plan and/or Code amendment or other proposed activity with staff recommendation	Status/ Initiated/ When initiated	Refer to PC, LPB, SB, or retained for CC	Staff Proposed Priority
1	<p><u>Plan and Code Amendments for re-visioning the Canyon Park Regional Growth Center (CPRGC),</u></p> <p><u>Person or organization making request:</u> Staff</p> <p><u>Subject property, if applicable, including specific text and/or map to be amended.</u> Property within the Canyon Park Regional Growth Center, and potentially other parcels.</p> <p><u>Requested amendment.</u> The proposed Plan and Code amendments would re-vision the Canyon Park RGC (with potential expansion to include the Thrasher's Corner retail area). One key component is how to incorporate housing, including affordable housing.</p> <p>A community visioning process has been completed (February 2018) and will be provided to Council and Planning Commission in the first quarter of 2018.</p> <p><u>Promotion of public health, safety and welfare, and supporting goals, policies and actions.</u> The proposed Plan and Code amendments are not mandated by state law, but it has long been recognized that the Canyon Park area is in need of "re-visioning" because the CPRGC is a vital component of meeting the City's employment and residential capacity and is a major economic driver for the city and region.</p> <p>The Canyon Park Subarea Plan contains a Land Use Policy (CP-P1) and Action (CP-A1) directly supporting the proposed amendments.</p> <p>This item has major budget implications because of the need for consultant assistance. Staff estimates that the total budget could be \$500,000 (or more). The first 'Visioning' phase is complete.</p> <p><u>Staff recommendation.</u> <i>Staff recommends the Council authorize work on this Plan and Code amendment as a high priority to be commenced this year (2018).</i></p>	Council previously initiated this item in 2016	PC	<p>HIGH</p> <p>2018</p> <p>This item will likely extend into 2019</p>

No.	Suggested Plan and/or Code amendment or other proposed activity with staff recommendation	Status/ Initiated/ When initiated	Refer to PC, LPB, SB, or retained for CC	Staff Proposed Priority
2	<p><u>A) Review and update of the Housing Strategy Plan; and B) Potential Plan and Code Amendments for Affordable Housing</u></p> <p><u>Person or organization making request:</u> Staff</p> <p><u>Subject property, if applicable:</u> City-wide</p> <p><u>Requested amendment, including specific text and/or map to be amended.</u> This item consists of two parts; A. Council review of a Planning Commission recommended update to the City's Housing Strategy. B. Potential Code amendments to require affordable housing provisions when the development capacity of a property is increased (whether through an upzone, changes to development regulations or otherwise).</p> <p><u>Promotion of public health, safety and welfare, and supporting goals, policies and actions.</u> A. Housing and Human Services Element, policy HHS-P40, reads: "Adopt and update at least every five years a Housing Strategy Plan... to address the City's housing needs and goals." B. The Housing and Human Services Element, policy HHS-P17 reads: "Consider market incentives to encourage and/or require affordable housing to meet the needs of people who work and desire to live in Bothell."</p> <p><u>Staff recommendation.</u> <i>This process has started and the Planning Commission has finished work on the Housing Strategy update, and those recommendations are scheduled for Council consideration on March 13, 2018.</i></p>	Council previously initiated this item in 2016	PC The PC completed its recommendation October 2017	HIGH 2018
3	<p><u>LEAN Process applied to Development Services Initiative (DSI)</u></p> <p><u>Person or organization making request:</u> Staff</p> <p><u>Subject property, if applicable:</u> City-wide</p> <p><u>Requested amendments:</u> Code amendments that will assist staff in applying LEAN management principals as part of the on-going Development Services re-organization to improve processing and review of development applications. Many of the potential amendments are anticipated to be within BMC Title 11 "Administration of Development Regulations" but other code sections may be involved.</p> <p>Initial code amendments identified: A. <u>Establish a Site Plan Review Process.</u> The City does not currently have a process for applicants to get a site plan approved that does not include a land use entitlement (PUD, Subdivision, CUP,</p>	Initiated in 2017	PC	HIGH 2018

No.	Suggested Plan and/or Code amendment or other proposed activity with staff recommendation	Status/ Initiated/ When initiated	Refer to PC, LPB, SB, or retained for CC	Staff Proposed Priority
	<p>etc.) to determine if the layout of a proposed development is consistent with the BMC. Instead, applicants use the Grading and/or the Critical Area Alternation Permit application processes as a means of evaluating whether the site plan layout is consistent with the Code. The preparation of these detailed applications is costly to the applicant and time-consuming for Staff to review. Consider creating a site plan entitlement process where the City reviews and approves an applicant's site layout, parking, building placement, critical areas buffering, and other site features.</p> <p>B. <u>Increase the number of lots through the short plat process - up to 9 lots.</u> Chapter 15.08 limits the number of lots allowed to be approved through the administrative-approved short subdivision process to 4 or fewer. Five or more lots must go through the full subdivision hearing process requiring a public hearing before the Hearing Examiner. State law allows jurisdictions to approve up to 9 lots via the short subdivision process (most surrounding jurisdictions have adopted this higher number). This amendment would considerably reduce processing time for both applicants and staff for these smaller-scale subdivisions.</p> <p>C. <u>Remove the 'sign' noticing requirement for Wireless Communication Facilities (WCF).</u> Currently, the WCF provisions of Chapter 12.11 require that new WCFs post a public notice sign for even small antennas or control boxes. The Federal Communication Commission (FCC) has authority over WCFs and the City of Bothell cannot prohibit the installation of a WCF.</p> <p>D. <u>Expand the list of 'minor modifications' allowed to a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) to include minor expansions to the CUP.</u> The CUP provisions of Chapter 12.28 contain criteria allowing staff to administrative modify an existing CUP. However, the modification criteria do not allow an increase in the square footage of any non-residential building meaning that even a minimal expansion is required to go through the full CUP Public Hearing process.</p> <p>E. Clarify the noise regulations of BMC 8.26 to identify the proper environmental noise levels for properties with multiple zoning classifications (noise levels are based upon the type of use on the adjacent property);</p> <p>F. <u>A number of minor housekeeping amendments to correct internal inconsistencies, better align with State law, and correct references.</u></p> <p><u>Promotion of public health, safety and welfare, and supporting goals, policies and actions.</u> Efficient and predictable permit processing is an objective of the Economic Development Element and is directly supported by Policy ED-P22 and Action ED-A 11.</p> <p><u>Staff recommendation.</u> <i>Staff recommends the Council continue to prioritize Code amendments to implement improvements to Development Services through the LEAN Process as part of the PC work program in 2018.</i></p>			

No.	Suggested Plan and/or Code amendment or other proposed activity with staff recommendation	Status/ Initiated/ When initiated	Refer to PC, LPB, SB, or retained for CC	Staff Proposed Priority
4	<p><i>Downtown Parking Management</i></p> <p>Person or organization making request: Staff</p> <p><u>Subject property, if applicable, including specific text and/or map to be amended.</u> Downtown Subarea, but possibly City-wide</p> <p><u>Requested amendment:</u> Businesses within the downtown Subarea have requested assistance with parking enforcement of the time-limited parking in Downtown. While parking enforcement is exclusively a Police Department function pursuant to BMC Chapter 10.30 of the BMC, Community Development Staff were assigned this activity due to concerns about parking requirements for private development and the Downtown Plan's call for a Downtown Parking Management Program, which would coordinate the multiple parking issues surrounding downtown revitalization.</p> <p><u>Promotion of public health, safety and welfare, and supporting goals, policies and actions.</u> The City Actions portion of the Downtown Plan calls for establishment of a Downtown Parking Management Program.</p> <p><u>Staff recommendation:</u> <i>Staff recommends that Council authorize work on this Code amendment as a high priority to be advanced in 2018 (NOTE: Aspects of the Parking Management Program will be ongoing, some of which will be led by other staff).</i></p>	Council previously initiated this item in 2016	CC retained this item	<p>HIGH 2018</p> <p>Phase 1 Study and recommendations went to Council in 2017, other actions deferred to 2018</p>
5	<p><i>Shoreline Master Plan (SMP) Periodic Update</i></p> <p>Person or organization making request: Staff</p> <p><u>Subject property, if applicable, including specific text and/or map to be amended:</u> Sammamish River, North Creek, Swamp Creek (within MUGA) and lands within 200 feet of those water bodies</p> <p><u>Requested amendment:</u> This is a State-mandated update of the City's SMP. The primary emphasis is to update the SMP to reflect new RCW and WAC amendments implemented since 2012 but other important aspects include a review for internal consistency, address changed local circumstances, or new information, and to investigate insights learned from permit reviews that have occurred since 2012 that could improve the efficiency or effectiveness of the SMP.</p> <p><u>Promotion of public health, safety and welfare, and supporting goals, policies and actions.</u> The Shoreline Element of the <i>Imagine Bothell...</i> Comprehensive Plan calls for periodic updates of the SMP to remain compliant with State law and Ecology guidelines.</p> <p><u>Staff recommendation.</u> <i>Authorize work on this Code amendment as a high priority to be advanced in 2018</i></p>	N/A	SB	<p>MANDATORY 2018</p>

No.	Suggested Plan and/or Code amendment or other proposed activity with staff recommendation	Status/ Initiated/ When initiated	Refer to PC, LPB, SB, or retained for CC	Staff Proposed Priority
6	<p><u>Code amendments to the Downtown Subarea regulations (may be broken into separate items).</u></p> <p><u>Person or organization making request:</u> Staff</p> <p><u>Subject property, if applicable, including specific text and/or map to be amended:</u> Downtown Subarea</p> <p><u>Requested amendment:</u> The proposed Code amendments would continue to refine the Downtown Subarea regulations. This process has been ongoing since adoption of the regulations in 2009 as staff and applicants work with the regulations and discover areas for improvement. B and C are included pending final Housing Strategy priorities.</p> <p>A. Possible revision of parking provision requirements (parking ratios) – see item 4;</p> <p>B. A developer submitted Code amendment would remove, at least in part, the building height overlay restriction of 3 floors and 35 feet that is applied to certain lands within the General Downtown Corridor, thereby allowing a building height of 4 floors and 45 feet if the project includes affordable housing consistent with the Planning Commission's Housing Strategy recommendation (See Item 2). The developer deferred the request and is proceeding with a 3-story townhome development, but the proposed change is an opportunity to require needed affordable housing.</p> <p>C. Also part of the Housing Strategy, consider removing the remaining 20 dwelling unit per acre density limit in the Downtown Transition district and add an affordable housing requirement.</p> <p>D. Planning Commission recommended that Council consider amendments to the regulations for public open space as part of their consideration of the setback amendments in 2017. Council deferred a decision on whether to initiate such amendments to the 2018 Docket. Since that time, other issues with the provisions for public open space have arisen.</p> <p>E. Rezone the area where GC zoning was retained to SR 522 Corridor. The decision to retain GC zoning on the west side of SR 522 south of Ormbrek St was made late in the Downtown Plan adoption process in 2009 and was not fully analyzed. Having a citywide zoning classification inside the Downtown Subarea is problematic, and some property owners in the area have requested changes to allow more flexible development.</p> <p><u>Promotion of public health, safety and welfare, and supporting goals, policies and actions.</u> The Downtown Subarea Plan and Regulations differ from the other elements of the Comprehensive Plan in that they do not contain Goals and Policies, as such. Rather, the Downtown Plan and Regulations envision how the various districts within the Downtown Subarea should look and function.</p> <p><u>Staff recommendation.</u> <i>Staff recommends that Council authorize work on this Plan and Code amendment as a high priority to be completed in 2017.</i></p>	Council previously initiated A & B in 2016, and C in 2017	Council retained A & referred B & C to PC	HIGH 2018

No.	Suggested Plan and/or Code amendment or other proposed activity with staff recommendation	Status/ Initiated/ When initiated	Refer to PC, LPB, SB, or retained for CC	Staff Proposed Priority
7	<p><i>Code amendments to Title 22, Landmark Preservation, specifically sections regarding Historic District regulations and reports for demolitions</i></p> <p><u>Person or organization making request:</u> Staff</p> <p><u>Subject property, if applicable:</u> Concerns have been raised regarding the need to streamline some of the structure demolition rules of Title 22, and to address some issues with regard to establishing historic districts.</p> <p><u>Requested amendments</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Streamline requirements for demolition of properties on the historic inventory that pose life safety issues and/or have little potential historic value. 2. Address issues that have arisen with the establishment of historic districts. <p><u>Staff Recommendation</u> <i>The Landmark Preservation Board has reviewed this, but it needs to go back to LPB following legal review.</i></p>	Initiated in 2017	LPB	HIGH 2018
8	<p><i>Comprehensive Update to the Landscape Regulations of BMC 12.18:</i> During a 2017 Code amendment to address tree retention, it was observed that the current landscaping regulations are geared primarily toward a suburban style of development. Further, these regulations have not been comprehensively updated since the early 1990s.</p> <p><u>Person or organization making request:</u> Staff</p> <p><u>Subject property, if applicable:</u> City-wide.</p> <p><u>Requested amendments</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Update the regulations to reflect the many different types of development patterns now established within the Comprehensive Plan; and 2. Explore alternative tree retention approaches. . <p><u>Promotion of public health, safety and welfare, and supporting goals, policies and actions.</u> Landscaping is a vital component to the appearance and livability of the City and the landscape regulations need to reflect the type and style of development pattern found within different locations of the community.</p> <p><u>Staff Recommendation:</u> Work on these regulations as a medium priority in 2019</p>	N/A	PC	Medium 2019

No.	Suggested Plan and/or Code amendment or other proposed activity with staff recommendation	Status/ Initiated/ When initiated	Refer to PC, LPB, SB, or retained for CC	Staff Proposed Priority
9	<p><u>Nike Hill / Shelton View and Queensborough Plan and Code amendments</u></p> <p><u>Person or organization making request:</u> MC Coast Equities and Bashir Malik</p> <p><u>Subject property, if applicable, including specific text and/or map to be amended:</u> Lands surrounding the Intersection of Meridian Avenue and 228th Street plus two DNR parcels, and the FEMA/Army Reserve Center</p> <p><u>Requested amendment:</u> Create zoning to implement the neighborhood activity center as identified within the <i>Imagine Bothell...</i> Comprehensive Plan including the MC Coast and Bashir Malik properties</p> <p>In addition to the above properties, this Plan amendment also involves the Army Reserve/FEMA Federal Facility (former Nike Missile Site), two Department of Natural Resources (DNR) properties of 26 and 7 acres and the Shelton View Elementary school.</p> <p><u>Planning Commission Recommendation:</u> Apply a three story (35 feet) Residential Activity Center and mixed use zoning classifications around the intersection of Meridian Avenue and 228th Street SE/SW but retain the existing zoning classifications for the majority of the study area, including the MC Coast parcel and the 26 acre DNR-1 parcel, and acquire both of those parcels as parkland at the earliest opportunity. A mandatory affordable housing requirement for the lands proposed for upzoning was part of the PC recommendation, but has been moved to Item 2 for consideration before individual upzones.</p> <p><u>Staff recommendation:</u> <i>Because this Plan and Code amendment implements an existing Comprehensive Plan Policy, involved considerable public input and led to a renewed effort to acquire the 26 acre DNR parcel and MC Coast properties, and applies Plan designations more suitable for the intersection of Meridian Avenue and 228th Street, staff recommends these Plan and Code amendments be considered in 2018.</i></p>	<p>Council previously initiated this item in 2015 but deferred the item to 2016</p> <p>In October of 2016, the Council deferred this item to 2017</p> <p>In March 2017, Council gave it a 2017+ priority</p>	<p>PC</p> <p>PC has completed recommendation</p>	<p>MEDIUM 2019</p>
8	<p><u>South Riverside Drive Plan and Code amendment</u></p> <p><u>Person or organization making request:</u> Rob Fuhriman – Council adopted the applicants initial request and authorized potential expansion of the rezone to include consideration of all R 9,600 properties between I-405 and the current limits of the Specialized Senior Housing Overlay (SSHO) zone and whether expansion of the SSHO should be considered.</p> <p><u>Subject property, if applicable:</u> The R 9,600 land south of East Riverside Drive.</p> <p><u>Requested amendment:</u> Re-designate to R 7,200, with a possible expansion of the SSHO</p>	<p>Council previously initiated this item in 2015 and again in 2016 and 2017</p>	<p>PC has completed its recommendation</p>	<p>MEDIUM 2019</p>

No.	Suggested Plan and/or Code amendment or other proposed activity with staff recommendation	Status/ Initiated/ When initiated	Refer to PC, LPB, SB, or retained for CC	Staff Proposed Priority
	<p>Planning Commission Recommendation: The Planning Commission forwarded a recommendation to assign an R 7,200 Plan designation to the area with a mandatory affordable housing component at certain thresholds.</p> <p>Staff recommendation: <i>Consider the Planning Commission recommendation in 2018 following consideration of citywide affordable housing requirements (Item 2)</i></p>			
9	<p>Code amendments to the Critical Areas Ordinance (CAO) and Shoreline Master Program (SMP) to: 1) More closely align with Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) guidelines regarding floodplain management; and 2) Clarify regulations concerning fish and wildlife habitat (stream), within the critical areas regulations; and 3) Adopt the latest version of the Department of Ecology Wetlands Rating System. These three items can be processed together, and can be partially incorporated into the SMP Update of item 5 above..</p> <p>Person or organization making request: Staff</p> <p>Subject property, if applicable: City-wide</p> <p>Requested amendment, including specific text and/or map to be amended, or other action.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. FEMA has requested revisions to the City's critical areas regulations to i) update language and/or adopt new language (primarily regarding definitions within Chapter 14.04), and ii) revise the City's critical areas reports requirements to align with FEMA habitat assessment and mitigation guidance. 2. Bothell Municipal Code 14.04.900 designates which areas comprise fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas. However, while the definitions for the critical areas regulations define the terms "stream" and "watercourse", BMC 14.04.900 does not use the terms at all (the term "habitat" is used almost exclusively). This has led to confusion and difficulty in interpreting the entire section relating to fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas (i.e. BMC 14.04.900 through 14.04-930). 3. The Washington State Department of Ecology is responsible for providing technical guidance for classifying wetlands and issuing a wetland rating system. In 2014 Ecology amended its wetland rating system which needs to be reflected in BMC 14.04.500(B) <p>Promotion of public health, safety and welfare, and supporting goals, policies and actions. Federal and State law require protection of anadromous fish species, and require the City to adopt regulations to preserve and protect fish habitat. Numerous Goals, Policies and Actions within the Natural Environment Element of the <i>Imagine Bothell...</i> Comprehensive Plan support the proposed Code amendments.</p> <p>Staff recommendation. <i>Staff recommends the Council reauthorize work on these Code amendments in 2018, with amendments to the SMP assigned to the new Shorelines Board.</i></p>	<p>Council previously initiated these items in 2015 and again in 2016 and 2017</p>	<p>BMC 14.04 changes - refer to the PC</p> <p>BSMP changes – refer to SB</p>	<p>MANDATORY 2018</p>

No.	Suggested Plan and/or Code amendment or other proposed activity with staff recommendation	Status/ Initiated/ When initiated	Refer to PC, LPB, SB, or retained for CC	Staff Proposed Priority
10	<p><i>Amend BMC 12.06.140(B)(12) so that “Residential Care Facility” actually reads “Assisted Living Facility”, consistent with the definition and state regulations</i> (could be combined with DSI amendments or other housekeeping items)</p> <p><u>Person or organization making request:</u> Staff</p> <p><u>Subject property, if applicable, including specific text and/or map to be amended:</u> City-wide</p> <p><u>Requested amendment.</u> Housekeeping Code amendments are those that are proposed to correct inaccuracies or inconsistencies within the regulations and that do not have policy implications. Staff has identified one such housekeeping Code amendment for the 2016 Docket, as follows: Proposed amendments to BMC 12.06.140(B)(12) so that “Residential Care Facility” actually reads “Assisted Living Facility”, consistent with the definition and state regulations for such facilities as detailed in RCW 18.20. Having a different term in the BMC for what the state calls Assisted Living Facilities creates confusion for staff, applicants and the public.</p> <p><u>Promotion of public health, safety and welfare, and supporting goals, policies and actions.</u> Assisted Living Facilities are governed by state law and the BMC should be consistent with those definitions and requirements.</p> <p><u>Staff recommendation.</u> <i>Staff recommends that the Council initiate consideration of the above proposed Code amendments and refer them to the Planning Commission for hearing, deliberation and recommendation back to the Council in 2018.</i></p>	Council previously initiated this item 2016 and again in 2017	PC, potentially together with DSI and/or other house-keeping amendments	HIGH 2018
11	<p><i>Review of BMC Chapter 12.22: Signs and potential Code amendments in light of the US Supreme Court ruling in <u>Reed vs Town of Gilbert, Arizona</u> in June, 2015.</i></p> <p><u>Person or organization making request:</u> Staff</p> <p><u>Subject property, if applicable:</u> City-wide</p> <p><u>Requested amendment, including specific text and/or map to be amended, or other action.</u> The U.S. Supreme Court’s ruling in <i>Reed vs Town of Gilbert, Arizona</i> in June, 2015 has ramifications for Bothell sign regulations. The February, 2016 issue of <u>Zoning Practice</u> noted: “While the sign code provisions challenged in <i>Reed</i> involved only the regulations of temporary noncommercial signs, the Court’s 6-3 majority decision, written by Justice Clarence Thomas, likely applies to the regulation of permanent signs, business signs, and possibly, on-site versus off-site signs.” The Court’s decision essentially concerns the regulation of signs based on sign content, and while the issue is obvious with</p>	Council previously initiated this item in 2016 and again in 2017	PC	MAND- ATORY 2018

No.	Suggested Plan and/or Code amendment or other proposed activity with staff recommendation	Status/ Initiated/ When initiated	Refer to PC, LPB, SB, or retained for CC	Staff Proposed Priority
	<p>relation to some signs, it is more subtle and may have farther reaching consequences for the City's sign regulations. The proposed Code amendments would review the City's sign regulations with respect to <i>Reed vs Town of Gilbert, Arizona</i> and recommend revisions to be consistent with the decision, as necessary.</p> <p><u>Promotion of public health, safety and welfare, and supporting goals, policies and actions.</u> The City is required to be ensure that its regulations are consistent with court decisions that directly affect those regulations.</p> <p><u>Staff recommendation.</u> <i>Staff Recommends the Council authorize work on this Code amendment in 2018 and assign to the Planning Commission.</i></p>			
12	<p><u>Code amendments to revise the current regulations pertaining to adult entertainment.</u></p> <p><u>Person or organization making request.</u> Individuals attending the 2015 Docket Public Hearing.</p> <p><u>Subject property, if applicable:</u> Would apply City wide.</p> <p><u>Requested amendment, including specific text and/or map to be amended.</u> This item was added to the Docket during the Council public hearing on February 4, 2014. An individual petitioned the Council to initiate proposed Code amendments regarding adult entertainment as it pertains to two espresso stands in the City (Beehive Espresso and Paradise Espresso).</p> <p>Following input from the City Attorney, Council initiated Code amendments to clarify the current regulations pertaining to adult entertainment.</p> <p><u>Promotion of public health, safety and welfare, and supporting goals, policies and actions.</u> Because this item involves legal considerations more than land use issues, no staff analysis has been conducted.</p> <p><u>Staff recommendation.</u> <i>Staff Recommends deferring this amendment to the 2018 Docket.</i></p>	<p>Council previously initiated this item in 2015 upon completion of the 2015 Periodic Update.</p> <p>Council included in the 2016 Docket and again in 2017</p>	CC	MEDIUM 2019
13	<p><u>Plan and Code amendments regarding a property owner request on a portion of the Wayne Golf Course</u></p> <p>Will depend on plans for the golf course property (Note: There is still a deferred Plan and Code Amendment for the clubhouse area that could potentially be used to deal with any desired changes to zoning and/or development regulations).</p>	Council initiated this item in 2015 and again in 2016 and 2017	PC	MEDIUM 2019

Other Long Range Planning Work Program Items that are NOT Docket Items

No.	Suggested Plan and/or Code amendment or other proposed activity with staff recommendation	Status/ Initiated/ When initiated	Referred to PC, LPB, SB, or retained for CC	Staff Proposed Priority
14	<i>Coordinate with Development Review Staff and other Departments / respond to the public and other administrative functions</i> Not a Docket item but it is included here because it is an important work task assigned to Long Range Planning staff.	Not a Docket item	Staff	Ongoing
15	<i>Administrative work - Update the Downtown Plan and on-line documents to reflect all <u>Code amendments</u> that have been implemented since 2009</i> Not a Docket item but is included here because it is a work task assigned to Long Range Planning	Not a Docket Item	Staff	Ongoing

Other Code amendments NOT on the Long Range Planning Work Program

No.	Suggested Plan and/or Code amendment or other proposed activity with staff recommendation	Status/ Initiated/ When initiated	Referred to PC, LPB, SB, or retained for CC	Staff Proposed Priority
16	<u>Code amendments to revise the current regulations pertaining to noise attenuation.</u> <u>Person or organization making request.</u> Council <u>Subject property, if applicable.</u> City wide. <u>Requested amendment, including specific text and/or map to be amended.</u> Noise levels are controlled within Title 8 of the BMC which assigns the enforcement of 'environmental' noise levels to the Community Development Director, public health issues to the Seattle-King County Department of Public Health and motor vehicle noise levels is assigned to the Bothell Police Department.	Awaiting initiation	Staff Rec. Retain for Council	MEDIUM 2019

No.	Suggested Plan and/or Code amendment or other proposed activity with staff recommendation	Status/ Initiated/ When initiated	Referred to PC, LPB, SB, or retained for CC	Staff Proposed Priority
	<p>Most noise issues are related to construction noise levels but environmental noise complaints do occur including complaints regarding devices (e.g. heat pumps, machinery), and/or nuisance items (e.g. barking dogs, loud music). Currently, the City adopts the environmental noise levels of the Washington Administrative Code (WAC) of 173-60-040 and 173-60-050.</p> <p>This issue includes enforcement protocols and the length of time such protocols take to resolve.</p> <p><u>Promotion of public health, safety and welfare, and supporting goals, policies and actions.</u> BMC 8.04.010 states: "The provisions of this chapter are an exercise of the police power of the city to promote the public health, safety, and welfare, and its provisions shall be liberally construed for the accomplishment of that purpose."</p> <p><u>Staff recommendation.</u> Initiate as a 2018 item and assign to the Legal Department.</p>			
17	<p><u>Code amendments to decriminalize (non-misdemeanor) off leash dog owners within City Parks.</u></p> <p><u>Person or organization making request:</u> Council</p> <p><u>Subject property, if applicable:</u> City wide.</p> <p><u>Requested amendment, including specific text and/or map to be amended.</u> City Park regulations are contained within Chapter 8.60 and includes provisions regarding the control of animals. 8.60.240 (B) requires that, "In permissible areas, dogs or other pets or domestic animals must be kept on a leash no greater than 15 feet in length, and under control at all times."</p> <p>Failure to comply with this requirement is punishable as, "...a misdemeanor and shall be punished by a fine not to exceed \$5,000."</p> <p>The concern is that a misdemeanor is a criminal offense which raises the lack of a leash to a level that may not be commensurate with the action.</p> <p><u>Promotion of public health, safety and welfare, and supporting goals, policies and actions.</u> BMC 8.60.390 Park Safety states: "Park rules, as promulgated in this chapter, are established to provide a system by which the greatest number of people may obtain the maximum satisfaction from the use of city parks and recreation facilities."</p> <p><u>Staff recommendation.</u> Initiate as a 2018 item and assign to the Parks and Recreation Department.</p>	Awaiting Initiation	Staff Rec. Refer to Park Board	DNCF

2017 Planning Docket Items Completed

No.	Suggested Plan and/or Code amendment or other proposed activity with staff recommendation	Status/ Initiated/ When initiated	Referred to PC, LPB, SB, or retained for CC	Completed
18	<p><i>UW Bothell / Cascadia Campus Master Plan and Development Agreement</i></p> <p><u>Person or organization making request.</u> University of Washington Bothell / Cascadia College</p> <p><u>Subject property, if applicable, including specific text and/or map to be amended.</u> University of Washington Bothell / Cascadia College Campus – Downtown Subarea</p> <p><u>Adopted amendment:</u> Code amendments that will establish development regulations for the campus, including the Phase I expansion area of parcels owned or leased by the UW Bothell and rezoned in 2016 from the General Downtown Corridor District (GDC) to the Campus (C) District.</p> <p>Phase II consisted of development of a new Campus Master Plan by the institutions (in coordination with the City) and adoption of Code amendments to specify development regulations as well as the process and development agreement protocols for the implementation of the UW Bothell / Cascadia Campus Master Plan.</p> <p>Phase III consisted of adoption of a development agreement between the City and institutions to recognize that development within the Campus District would be consistent with the new Campus Master Plan, versus under the existing PUD (though any existing applicable conditions of approval are incorporated into the development agreement).</p> <p><u>Promotion of public health, safety and welfare, and supporting goals, policies and actions.</u> The completion of the UW Bothell / Cascadia Campus Master Plan is reflected in the Development Agreement. The Downtown Plan recognizes the potential for mutual benefit in strengthening safe and attractive pedestrian and bicycle connectivity between the downtown core and the campus via public streets, insuring quality development along the Beardslee Boulevard corridor, and strengthening the downtown subarea to better serve as a convenient and attractive “campus town” and residential district for students, faculty and staff.</p> <p>Other policy support includes: “Link the Downtown Core to the University of Washington Bothell / Cascadia College (UWB/CC) campus”</p>	Council previously docketed this item in 2015 and again in 2016	CC	<p>December 2017</p> <p>CC approved Plan & Code amendment in 2016 to establish expanded boundary & Campus Master Plan process</p>

No.	Suggested Plan and/or Code amendment or other proposed activity with staff recommendation	Status/ Initiated/ When initiated	Referred to PC, LPB, SB, or retained for CC	Completed
19	<p><i>Plan and Code amendments in response to comments from the Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC) regarding follow-up work post the 2015 Periodic Plan and Code Update</i> <u>Person or organization making request:</u> Staff</p> <p><u>Subject property, if applicable.</u> Planning Area-wide (Plan amendments) and City-wide (Code amendments)</p> <p><u>Requested amendments, including specific text and/or map to be amended, or other action.</u> The PSRC certified the City's 2015 Periodic Plan and Code Update (adopted July 7, 2015) and as part of the certification recommended the City follow-up with additional work related to VISION 2040, particularly in the areas of transportation and housing.</p> <p><u>Promotion of public health, safety and welfare, and supporting goals, policies and actions.</u> <i>The Imagine Bothell... Comprehensive Plan is required by state law (the Growth Management Act, or GMA) to be consistent with VISION 2040 and with county-wide planning policies. While the 2015 Periodic Plan and Code Update was certified by PSRC and the City's Plan is not out of compliance with the GMA, the City should amend the Plan and/or implementing regulations to better align with the Goals and Policies of VISION 2040.</i></p>	2017	PC	December 2017
20	<p><i>Code amendments to implement land use development tools such as lot clustering, lot size averaging and others, to decrease impervious surface, increase natural vegetation retention -- including trees -- and preserve open space within developments.</i></p> <p><u>Person or organization making request:</u> Staff</p> <p><u>Subject property, if applicable, including specific text and/or map to be amended:</u> City-wide</p> <p><u>Adopted amendments:</u> The proposed amendments allow lot clustering to decrease impervious surface, increase natural vegetation retention -- including trees -- and preserve open space within developments. Planning Commission included amendments to tree retention regulations, but they were separated for Council consideration.</p> <p><u>Promotion of public health, safety and welfare, and supporting goals, policies and actions.</u> Numerous Goals and Policies within the <i>Imagine Bothell... Comprehensive Plan</i>, particularly within the Natural Environment Element, support the proposed Code amendments.</p>	Council previously initiated this item in 2016	PC	Clustering adopted July 2017 Tree Retention adopted January 16, 2018

No.	Suggested Plan and/or Code amendment or other proposed activity with staff recommendation	Status/ Initiated/ When initiated	Referred to PC, LPB, SB, or retained for CC	Completed
21	<p><u>Miscellaneous Code amendments to the Downtown Subarea regulations.</u></p> <p><u>Person or organization making request:</u> Staff</p> <p><u>Subject property, if applicable, including specific text and/or map to be amended:</u> Downtown Subarea</p> <p><u>Requested amendment:</u> The proposed Code amendments would continue to refine the Downtown Subarea regulations. This process has been ongoing since adoption of the regulations in 2009 as staff and applicants work with the regulations and discover areas for improvement. Some items are completed tasks, but all are listed here:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Change the method for measuring building height to correspond to IBC method. This was considered separately and adopted on June 13, 2017 B. Clarify regulations requiring buildings to front on streets to allow “back of lot” buildings when other buildings provide adequate street frontage. This is an issue that has arisen as townhome developments are starting to come forward. It may be possible to address the issue through a Director’s Interpretation, but it would be best to clarify the requirement in the code; C. Add home occupations as a permitted use in the Sunrise / Valley View (SVV) District. The intent was to keep the regulations essentially as they were under the previous R 8,400 and R 9,600 zoning, which allow home occupations. The Downtown Plan uses the home occupation label also in relation to Live-Work uses, which may have caused some confusion that resulted in showing Home Occupations as not permitted in SVV. Further analysis of this item indicates a need to review the regulations for home occupations and Live-Work uses in other Downtown districts. <p><u>Promotion of public health, safety and welfare, and supporting goals, policies and actions.</u> The Downtown Subarea Plan and Regulations differ from the other elements of the Comprehensive Plan in that they do not contain Goals and Policies, as such. Rather, the Downtown Plan and Regulations envision how the various districts within the Downtown Subarea should look and function.</p>	Council previously initiated these items in 2016	<p>Council retained some items and referred others to PC</p> <p>A ‘new’ owner-requested Code amendment was deferred by the applicant (see item 6B)</p>	2017
22	<p><u>University of Washington Department of Architecture “Storefront Studio”</u></p> <p>Not a docket item, but staff was involved before, during and following Spring Quarter with a design studio project focused on potential façade and other improvements in the downtown core. Ongoing work is being done with individual businesses and with Finance to facilitate a private loan program.</p>	N/A	N/A	The studio project was completed in June 2017.

ATT - 1

No.	Suggested Plan and/or Code amendment or other proposed activity with staff recommendation	Status/ Initiated/ When initiated	Referred to PC, LPB, SB, or retained for CC	Completed
23	<i>Alternative Transportation Services</i> <i>On-going coordination with KC Metro, UW Bothell / Cascadia, City of Woodinville</i> Not a Docket item but it is included here because it is a work task involving Long Range Planning (Public Works' Transportation Planner is City lead).	Not a Docket Item	Staff	Phase 1 begun in 2017, some ongoing involvement foreseen
24	<i>Bicycle Master Plan and PSRC BPAC participation</i> <i>Coordination w/ Public Works led effort</i> Not a Docket item but it is included here because it is a work task involving Long Range Planning (Public Works' Transportation Planner is lead).	Not a Docket Item	Staff	On-going